

Engagement of Miss Sherry Chandler, Pat John Sheridan Is Announced



Commr. Court Supports Two House Bills

HOSPITAL NEWS NOTES

26 Enrolled In Medication Aide Training



Study Club Luncheon Fri., May 2

Colorado County Commissioners Monday endorsed proposed legislation to increase the fees received from sale of auto license registrations; and asking that the state be responsible for payment of attorney's fees for litigants on behalf of the county. Carl G. Smith of Harris County asked the court to consider endorsement of House Bill 1412 and Senate Bill 867 which would, if approved, increase fees for sale of plates. The bill proposed no change in the \$175,000 which the county gets for the initial sales of plates. Judge Lester Cranek pointed out that the bill would increase fees for plates by \$100,000 if passed. Under HB 1412 the county would get \$50 for each of the plates issued after the \$175,000 limit, up to 5,000 (this increases from 2,000 to 5,000). The bill would cost \$10,000 to administer, and \$50 for each receipt, after 15,000 (now 50c).

The sponsor of the bill pointed to the constant rising costs in the handling of the bill.

Judge Cranek said that Colorado County Tax Collector Henry J. Hajovsky, who handles sale of the plates, expects very little if any appreciable difference in the bill were approved.

The other endorsement went to House Bill 1529 which would assess the cost of attorneys appointed by the courts to defend litigants in the state, instead of the expense going to the county as under present law.

Judge Cranek pointed out that the presiding judges now expect an opinion from Attorney General John Hill.

After two days of debate eight constitutional amendments were adopted on April 4th, 1975. Basically, this adopted document was exactly the same one that was proposed by the commissioners.

After the commissioners' adoption, the Texas Legislature adjourned for a two-month summer recess.

On May 1st, the commissioners called another session to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 2nd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 3rd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 4th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 5th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 6th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 7th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 8th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 9th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 10th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 11th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 12th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 13th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 14th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 15th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 16th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 17th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 18th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 19th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 20th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 21st, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 22nd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 23rd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 24th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 25th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 26th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 27th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 28th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 29th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On May 30th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On May 31st, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 1st, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 2nd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 3rd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 4th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 5th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 6th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 7th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 8th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 9th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 10th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 11th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 12th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 13th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 14th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 15th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 16th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 17th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 18th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 19th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 20th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 21st, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 22nd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 23rd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 24th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 25th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 26th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 27th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 28th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 29th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On June 30th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On June 31st, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 1st, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 2nd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 3rd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 4th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 5th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 6th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 7th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 8th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 9th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 10th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 11th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 12th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 13th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 14th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 15th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 16th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 17th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 18th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 19th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 20th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 21st, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 22nd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 23rd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 24th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 25th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 26th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 27th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 28th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 29th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On July 30th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On July 31st, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 1st, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 2nd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 3rd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 4th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 5th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 6th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 7th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 8th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 9th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 10th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 11th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 12th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 13th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 14th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 15th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 16th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 17th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 18th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 19th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 20th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 21st, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 22nd, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 23rd, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 24th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August 25th, the commissioners approved the proposed constitution.

On August 26th, the commissioners voted to consider an amendment to the state constitution to those assessed to the state rather than to the counties.

On August